

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/675,843	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14823US02	6899		
23446 MCANDREW	7590 12/17/200 'S HELD & MALLOY.		EXAM	IINER		
500 WEST MA	ADISON STREET		CHEEM	CHEEMA, UMAR		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, II	.60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,		2444				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/17/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/675,843	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
UMAR CHEEMA	2444		

		UMAR CHEEMA	2444	
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 Decembe	2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
application, applicant must application in condition for a	imely file one of the following r llowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expire	smonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the Examiner Note: If box 1 is	statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection	n.
have been filed is the date for purpose under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated fro	es of determining the period of extern: (1) the expiration date of the si reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was fi filing the Notice of Appeal (3	7 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be sign thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS	neu, any reply must be meu wi	unin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.57 (a).	
3. The proposed amendment (a) They raise new issue: (b) They raise the issue (c) They are not deemed (c) They are not deemed (d) They present addition NOTE: See 4. The amendments are over 5. Applicant's reply has over 6. Newly proposed or amendinon-allowable daim(s).	that would require further con- fr new matter (see NOTE beloo- to place the application in bett al claims without cancelling a c 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). compliance with 37 CFR 1.2 ome the following rejection(s): d claim(s)would be alle	er form for appeal by materially reconstructions of finally rejects.  1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	TE below); ducing or simplifying the ected claims. mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
	aims would be rejected is prov (or will be) as follows: 18-27 and 29-34. hsideration:		i de entered and an e.	planation of
8. The affidavit or other evider	ce filed after a final action, but provide a showing of good and	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi		
entered because the affiday	it or other evidence failed to ov	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
		n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERA</li> <li>11. The request for reconsider See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Informa 13. Other:	ion Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner	. Art Unit 2444			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with regards to "automatically transfer is controlled by utilizing at least first rule" are substantially same as previously presented in final action mailed out on 10/24/2008 and are not persuasive as discussed in Final Action\* previously. Examiner respectfully disagree with applicant argumenting that such limitation are not taught by Firstsh in view of Saxena because Fritsch in view of Saxena heaten or sugar, "automatically transfer is controlled by utilizing at least first rule" (see Final Action details mailed out 10/24/2008 and further to clarify Saxena discloses "a content transfer based on predetermined authorization access information", see abstract, which clearly states that contransfer based on predetermined rules which in this case is autorization access information). Thus, it is Examiner's position that 35 U.S.C 103(a) rejection is proper.